



### 2022 SPIELBERG EVENT 7 to 10 July 2022

From	The Stewards	Document	39
То	The Team Manager, Campos Racing	Date	09 July 2022
		Time	16:21

- Title DECISION Car 20 Right of Review
- Description DECISION Car 20 Right of Review
- Enclosed DOC 39- 2022 Spielberg Event F3 DECISION Car 20 Right of Review.pdf

**Felix Holter** 

Tomas Kunc

Victoria König

The Stewards





## **SPIELBERG EVENT**

7<sup>TH</sup> - 10<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2022

From	The Stewards	Document	39
То	All Teams & Officials	Date	9 July 2022
		Time	16:18

#### REVIEW REQUEST BY CAMPOS RACING CONCERNING DOCUMENT 32

In accordance with Article 14.1.1 of the FIA International Sporting Code, the Stewards have received a petition from the competitor Campos Racing asking them to review, a decision (document 32) taken by them at the FIA Formula 3 Round in Spielberg.

The Stewards summoned and heard the team representative Mr. Campos and the driver of Car 20 David Vidales (document 34) and the driver of Car 15 Zdenek Chovanec and his team representative Mr Kenny Kirwan (document 35) and determine the following:

Pursuant to Art. 14 of the ISC, a petition for review must be filed against a Stewards' decision and can only be granted if a competitor successfully demonstrates "that a significant and relevant new element is discovered which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned." Provided that there is a Stewards' decision, the four key points that the Competitor must demonstrate are that the new element must be (1) Significant, (2) Relevant, (3) New, and (4) Unavailable at the time to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned.

In this case the competitor provided a statement by the other driver involved in the incident, Zdenek Chovanec (Car 15), stating that there had been no contact between the two cars and that despite the close distance between the two cars at the apex of turn 3, Car 15 spun without any external trigger because of a misapplication of the throttle.

In addition to that, the competitor seeking the review claimed that both cars did not have any contact marks after the race.

#### Decision:

There is a <u>significant and relevant</u> <u>new</u> element which was <u>unavailable</u> to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned.

#### Reason:

The competitor seeking the review has been directly affected by the decision by his driver being penalized with a 10 seconds time penalty and 2 penalty points.

The petition for review has been received by the Stewards at 1415 hrs on July 9, 2022 and therefore within the timeframe of 14 calendar days after the decision concerned as required in Article 14.4.1 of the FIA International Sporting Code. The timing requirement of Article 14.4.2 has also been met.





## **SPIELBERG EVENT**

7<sup>TH</sup> - 10<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2022

In addition to the formal requirements discussed before, Article 14.1.1 of the FIA International Sporting Code requires four key criteria to be fulfilled in order to review a decision taken by the Stewards:

#### <u>Unavailable</u>

The evidence provided by team Campos Racing (Statement of the driver of Car 15) was not available and/or accessible at the time the decision was taken during the Sprint Race. The team also claimed that both cars would have no marks of contact but were unable to provide evidence for that claim, so this will not be considered any further in course of the review. Nonetheless, this test is met with regards to the statement of the driver of Car 15.

#### New

At the time of the decision neither the team nor the Stewards had any information about a statement of the driver of Car 15 concerning the incident. This test is met.

#### Relevant

As the Statement of the driver of Car 15 is directly referring to the incident Campos Racing is seeking to be reviewed, it has to be considered as relevant to the case. This test is met.

#### **Significant**

The Stewards based their initial decision on video evidence available during the race. At that time they agreed that the video evidence available was sufficient to take a decision in-race and therefore did not conduct any further investigation after the race and did not hear from the drivers concerned. The statement coming from the driver of Car 15 that there was no contact and that the telemetry data analysed by his team after the race confirmed that he spun because he applied the throttle too early after negotiating turn 3 is clearly significant to the matter. This test is met.

#### **Conclusion**

The Stewards find, in their sole discretion, that:

- The decision is subject to the Right of Review;
- That the statement by the driver is New;
- That the statement by the driver was Unavailable to the Competitor at the time of the decision subject to the petition for review;
- That the statement by the driver is Relevant; and
- That the statement by the driver is Significant;

The four key points required under Art. 14.1.1 are met and the Stewards, therefore, accept the Competitor's Right of Review.





# **SPIELBERG EVENT**

 $\mathbf{7}^{\text{TH}} - \mathbf{10}^{\text{TH}} \text{ JULY } \mathbf{2022}$ 

Competitors are reminded that, in accordance with Article 14.3 of the FIA International Sporting Code, this decision is not subject to appeal.

**Felix Holter** 

**Tomas Kunc** 

Victoria König

The Stewards